



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignina 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/420,457		10/18/1999	TAKESHI YAMAMOTO	32032 2834		
116	7590	07/11/2003				
PEARNE &			EXAMINER			
526 SUPER SUITE 1200		NUE EAST	CLINTON, GREGORY L			
CLEVELAN	ND, OH 4	4114-1484		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
				2154	0	
				DATE MAILED: 07/11/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				ope,
	Ų,	Application N .	Applicant(s)	
	Advisory Action	09/420,457	YAMAMOTO, TAKE	SHI
•¥	•	Examin r	Art Unit	
		Gregory L. Clinton	2154	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover shet with the c	correspondence add	ress
There final i condi	REPLY FILED 30 June 2003 FAILS TO PLACE TH efore, further action by the applicant is required to average to a series of the seri	oid abandonment of this application and indication of the contraction of the contract which are the contraction of the contract	ation. A proper reply	y to a
	PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
tee ha fee un (2) as		Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing is FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection IE FINAL REJECTION. IR 1.136(a) and the appropriate fee. The appropriate fee. The appropriate fee.	on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension Office action: or
1.	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR	Brief must be filed within the pe	eriod set forth in f the appeal.	
2.🖂	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a	a) $oxtimes$ they raise new issues that would require furthe	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);	
(t	b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);		
(0	 they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or 	n better form for appeal by mater	rially reducing or sin	nplifying the
(0	l) they present additional claims without canceling they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims	S .
	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3.	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	ion(s):		
4.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed a	amendment
5.	The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been consideration	dered but does NOT	Γ place the
6.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	enewly
7.⊠	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(explanation of how the new or amended claims wo	(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b) ould be rejected is provided belov		nd an
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
	Claim(s) allowed:			
	Claim(s) objected to:			
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9,11-24 and 26-30</u> .			
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8.	The proposed drawing correction filed on is a	a) approved or b) disappr	oved by the Examir	ner.
9.	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen			•
	Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>	4	Zamulan Zarni Maung	,
		PF	RIMARY EXAMINER	٠,



Continuation of 2. NOTE: THe limitations of "thinning the image information", "screen size", and "color depth" require additional search and/or consideration..

Continuation of 10. Other: Applicant appears to be using the new format for submitting amendments. However, proper submissions under the new format require a listing of status for all claims, including previously cancelled claims. Further, the text of previously cancelled claims should not be presented. In the instant amendment, claim 10 (cancelled by applicant in Paper No. 5) was erroneously presented in its entirety; claim 10's status was erroneously listed as 'original'; and claim 25 (also cancelled in Paper No. 5) was erroneously omitted.